Gaikwad RD

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION INTERIM APPLICATION NO.20251 OF 2022 IN

Competition Commission of India & Anr ...Applicants

WRIT PETITION NO. 3781 OF 2022

In the matter between

Trustees' Association of India ...Petitioner

Versus

Competition Commission of India & Anr ... Respondents

WITH WRIT PETITION NO. 3781 OF 2022

Trustees' Association of India ...Petitioner

Versus

Competition Commission of India & Anr ... Respondents

WITH

INTERIM APPLICATION NO.20250 OF 2022

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 3791 OF 2022

Competition Commission of India & AnrApplicants

In the matter between

IDBI Trusteeship Services Ltd ...Petitioner

Versus

Competition Commission of India & Ors ... Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 3791 OF 2022

Page 1 of 4
21st February 2023

IDBI Trusteeship Services Ltd

...Petitioner

Versus

Competition Commission of India & Ors

...Respondents

WITH INTERIM APPLICATION NO.30620 OF 2022 IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 3847 OF 2022

Competition Commission of India & Anr

...Applicants

In the matter between

SBI Cap Trustee Company Ltd

...Petitioner

Versus

Competition Commission of India & Ors

...Respondents

WITH WRIT PETITION NO. 3847 OF 2022

SBI Cap Trustee Company Ltd

...Petitioner

Versus

Competition Commission of India & Ors

...Respondents

WITH INTERIM APPLICATION NO.30622 OF 2022 IN WRIT PETITION NO. 3842 OF 2022

Competition Commission of India & Anr

...Applicants

In the matter between

Axis Trustee Services Ltd

...Petitioner

Versus

Competition Commission of India & Ors

...Respondents

WITH WRIT PETITION NO. 3842 OF 2022

Page 2 of 4
21st February 2023

Axis Trustee Services Ltd ...Petitioner Versus

Competition Commission of India & Ors ...Respondents

Ma Nazwan II Canazai Canian Advanata mith Eagle of C

Mr Navroz H Seervai, Senior Advocate, with Farhad Sorabjee & Ms Shanaya Cyrus Irani i/b J Sagar Associates, for the Petitioner in WP 3791/2022.

- Mr Farhad Sorabjee & Shanaya Cyrus Irani, i/b J Sagar Associates, for the Petitioners in WP WP/3781/2022, WP/3842/2022 & WP/3847/2022
- Mr Somasekhar Sundaresan, with Manu Chaturvedi, Savani Gupte & Warisha Parkar, Sailee Dhayalkar, Abhishek Venkatraman & Viswajit P Deb i/b Samvad Partners, for the Respondents Nos.1 and 2 in WP/3781/2022, WP/3791/2022, WP/3842/2022 & WP/3847/2022.
- Mr Mihir Nanivadekar, with Prasad Shenoy, Shashwat Rai & Anusha Guthi, i/b Keystone Partners, for the Respondent No.3 in WP/3781/2022 & WP/3791/2022.
- Mr Prasad Shenoy, with Shashwat Rai & Anusha Guthi i/by Keystone Partners, for the Respondent No.3 in WP/3842/2022 & WP 3847/2022.
- Mr Vishal Kanade, with Shivani Kumbhojkar i/by The Law Point for the Respondent No.4 (SEBI) in WP/3781/2022, WP/3791/2022, WP/3842/2022, WP/3847/2022 & in IA/20251/2022, IA/30620/2022 & IA/30622/2022.

CODAM C C Datal 8

CORAM G.S. Patel &

Neela Gokhale, JJ.

DATED: 21st February 2023

PC:-

1. Mr Seervai has taken many instructions. He states on the basis of those several instructions, including from constituents of

the Trustees Association of India, the composition of which itself has changed during the course of these proceedings, that the Petitioners will, on the basis of the present record in the Writ Petitions as it stands today before us, proceed to a hearing before the Competition Commission of India ("CCI") on all aspects including the jurisdiction of the CCI. It is self-evident that the jurisdictional issue will have to be decided first, one way or the other. We clarify that this does not mean that, should the CCI find that it indeed has jurisdiction, it should defer a consideration on the other aspects to a later date. The CCI will decide the issue of jurisdiction and will pass an order on that issue.

- 2. We keep open all contentions on merits. There are also rival contentions regarding the correct interpretation of the two competing statutes, one controlled or regulated by SEBI and the other by the CCI. Mr Sundaresan submits that there are submissions regarding the proper interpretation and meaning of the decision of the Supreme Court in *Competition Commission of India v Bharti Airtel Ltd.*¹ We have expressed no view on any of these matters. All contentions are expressly kept open.
- 3. The Petitions are disposed of in these terms. All pending Interim Applications also stand disposed of as such.

(Neela Gokhale, J) (G. S. Patel, J)

^{1 (2019) 2} SCC 521.