# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA INHERENT JURISDICTION

### R.P.(C) Nos.653-654/2021 in C.A. Nos.440-441/2020

| CYRUS INVESTMENTS | PVT | LTD | æ | ANR. |  |  |  |
|-------------------|-----|-----|---|------|--|--|--|
|-------------------|-----|-----|---|------|--|--|--|

Petitioner(s)

**VERSUS** 

TATA CONSULTANCY SERVICES LIMITED & ORS.

Respondent(s)

#### WITH

R.P. (C) Nos. 655-656/2021 in C.A. Nos. 13-14/2020, R.P. (C) Nos. 657-658/2021 in C.A. Nos.444-445/2020, R.P. (C) Nos. 659-660/2021 in C.A. Nos. 19-20/2020, R.P. (C) Nos. 661-662/2021 in C.A. Nos.442-443/2020, R.P. (C) Nos.663-664/2021 in C.A. Nos.448-449/2020, R.P. (C) Nos.665-666/2021 in C.A. Nos.263-264/2020 & R.P. (C) Nos.667/2021 in C.A. No. 1802/2020

#### ORDER

Applications seeking exemption from filing affidavits are allowed.

Applications seeking oral hearing of the Review Petitions are allowed.

List the Review Petitions on Wednesday, the 9th March, 2022.

|          | CJI<br>(N.V. RAMANA)      |
|----------|---------------------------|
| Verified | (A.S. BOPANNA)            |
| YADAV    | J<br>(V. RAMASUBRAMANIAN) |

NEW DELHI; 15TH FEBRUARY, 2022.

# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA INHERENT JURISDICTION

### R.P.(C) Nos.653-654/2021 in C.A. Nos.440-441/2020

CYRUS INVESTMENTS PVT LTD. & ANR.

...Petitioner (s)

**VERSUS** 

TATA CONSULTANCY SERVICES LIMITED & ORS.

...Respondent(s)

WITH

R.P.(C) Nos.655-656/2021 in C.A. Nos.13-14/2020, R.P. (C) Nos.657- 658/2021 in C.A. Nos.444-445/2020, R.P.(C) Nos.659-660/2021 in C.A Nos.19-20/2020, R.P. (C) Nos.661-662/2021 in C.A. Nos.442-443/2020, R.P.

(C) Nos.663-664/2021 in C.A. Nos.448-449/2020, R.P.(C) Nos.665-666/2021 in C.A. Nos.263-264/2020 & R.P. (C) No.667/2021 n C.A. No.1802/2020

## ORDER

With utmost respect, I regret my inability to agree with the order. I have carefully gone through the Review Petitions and I do not find any valid ground to review the judgment. The grounds raised in the Review Petitions do not fall within the parameters of a review and hence the applications seeking oral hearing deserve to be dismissed.

(V. Ramasubramanian)

THE PEOPLE. OF THE PEOP

NEW DELHI, 15<sup>th</sup> FEBRUARY, 2022

ITEM NO.1004 SECTION XVII

# SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

#### R.P.(C) Nos.653-654/2021 in C.A. Nos.440-441/2020

CYRUS INVESTMENTS PVT LTD & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

**VERSUS** 

TATA CONSULTANCY SERVICES LIMITED & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and IA No.57761/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING AFFIDAVIT)

WITH

- R.P.(C) Nos. 655-656/2021 in C.A. Nos. 13-14/2020 (XVII) (FOR ORAL HEARING ON IA 68199/2021 & FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING AFFIDAVIT ON IA 68201/2021)
- R.P.(C) Nos.657-658/2021 in C.A. Nos.444-445/2020 (XVII) (FOR ORAL HEARING ON IA 68276/2021 & FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING AFFIDAVIT ON IA 68278/2021)
- R.P.(C) Nos. 659-660/2021 in C.A. Nos. 19-20/2020 (XVII) (FOR ORAL HEARING ON IA 68205/2021 & FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING AFFIDAVIT ON IA 68207/2021)
- R.P.(C) Nos. 661-662/2021 in C.A. Nos.442-443/2020 (XVII) (FOR ORAL HEARING ON IA 68215/2021 & FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING AFFIDAVIT ON IA 68217/2021)
- R.P.(C) Nos. 663-664/2021 in C.A. Nos.448-449/2020 (XVII)

  (FOR ORAL HEARING ON IA 68249/2021 & FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING

  AFFIDAVIT ON IA 68254/2021)
- R.P.(C) Nos.665-666/2021 in C.A. Nos.263-264/2020 (XVII) (FOR ORAL HEARING ON IA 68226/2021 & FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING AFFIDAVIT ON IA 68227/2021)
- R.P.(C) No. 667/2021 in C.A. No. 1802/2020 (XVII) (FOR ORAL HEARING ON IA 68275/2021 & FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING AFFIDAVIT ON IA 68277/2021)

Date: 15-02-2022 These matters were circulated today.

#### CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.S. BOPANNA HON'BLE MR.

JUSTICE V. RAMASUBRAMANIAN

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following ORDER

- 2**-**

- 2 -

## Hon'ble the Chief Justice of India & Hon'ble Mr. Justice A.S. Bopanna

Applications seeking exemption from filing affidavits are allowed.

Applications seeking oral hearing of the Review Petitions are allowed.

List

theReview
Petitions on
Wednesday,
the9<sup>th</sup> March,

2022.

### Hon'ble Mr.Justice V.Ramasubramanian

With utmost respect, I regret my inability to agree with the order. I have carefully gone through the Review Petitions and I do not find any valid ground to review the judgment. The grounds raised in the Review Petitions do not fall within the parameters of a review and hence the applications seeking oral hearing deserve to be dismissed.

BY THE PEOPLE. FOR THE PEOPLE. OF THE PEOPLE

(VISHAL ANAND)

(R.S. NARAYANAN)

ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

COURT MASTER (NSH)

(Two Signed Orders are placed on the file)