WWW.LEGALERAONLINE.COM

CRM-M No.8190 of 2021 (O&M)

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.8190 of 2021 (O&M) Decided on: 24.03.2021

Mohit Bathla

....Petitioner

Central Goods and Lewise Fat Division Fanight

...Respondent

CORAM NON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINCE SANGWAN

Present

Mr. Puneet Bali, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Sackin Jan

Mr. Saurabh Gee

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN.

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (11 short) as for grant of regular bail to

the petitioner for offence the second 2(1)(b) and 132(1)(c)

punishable under Clause (1) of the Central Goods

and Service Tax, 2017 (in short 'tla CGST, 2017) File

No.GEXCOM/AE/FU/87/2020-AE-CGST-DIV

MMRTE-

PANCHKULA.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner has argued that the accounts of the firms of the petitioner was verified by GST Officers from time to time and as per the statement dated 12.02.2020, on verification of the copies of various Goods & Services Tax Returns (G.S.T.R.), Re-conciliation of Input Tax Credit Claim, nothing was found suspicious. The authorities again, searched the premises of the

2

CRM-M No.8190 of 2021 (O&M)

petitioner under Section 67 of the CGST, 2017 and the Assistant Commissioner, G.S.T. Division Gandhi Mandi, Panipat vide letter dated 14.10.2020 informed the Assistant Commissioner, Ghaziabad that e-Way bill and transport documents were found to be in order. Later on, again surprise visit was made at the premises of the petitioner which according to the learned learned set for the petitioner was in violation of en the procedure under 100(4) Cr.P.C., was not followed Panches accompanying the searched were not the loc eafter, the petition summons to appear before Superintendent (Pro inipat. In pursuance e the authorities and was mmons, the petitione threatened that he will be sequently in a case. Thereafter, and he was detained under the statement of the petition the alleged commission of Section 69 of the Act offence punishable under Sections 132(1)(d) and 132(1)(c) of the CGST, 2017. An application for seeking remand of the petitioner was filed before Chief Judicial Magistrate, Pa The petitioner, thereafter, preferred an application for bail w clined by the Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Panipat on 31.12.2020.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner has prayed for bail on the ground that in order to bring the offence under Clause (i) of Section 132(i) of the CGST, 2017, different amount of ITC were clubbed so as to bring the figure above Rs.500 lacs to attract maximum punishment and therefore, no proper procedure has been followed. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner has next argued that there is

CRM-M No.8190 of 2021 (O&M)

no fake or bogus invoices or no false claim have been set up by the petitioner as he has filed all the documents relating to the transactions from time to time with the respondent – authorities as per the procedure laid down in the Rules of the CGST, 2017.

3

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner has further argued that the entire case against the petitioner is not proved as no complaint was filed against the petitioner and he has/been detained while exercising the powers under Section 132 of the CGST, 2017.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner has relied upon the order dated 18.01.2021 passed in EWP No.8268 of 2020 where the constitutional authority of Sec. 7025 69 and 132 of the CGST, 2017, is under challenge and the various Sections 132(1)(b) and 132(1)(c) of the CGST 2017, has been granted the concession of bail.

that it has been held by the Honble Supreme Court that while considering a bail application, his innocence till the guilt is proved, should be ridered beyond a reasonable doubt also it should be considered that a person, if denied bail, will be psychological and physical deprivation of his personal life.

Learned senior counsel has further relied upon the order dated 28.10.2020 passed in CRM-M No.27425 of 2020 wherein in similar circumstances, the accused has been granted the concession of regular bail and similar view was taken by this Court, while granting the concession of anticipatory bail in terms of the order passed in CWP No.13995 of 2020 wherein the vires of the Act are under challenge.

CRM-M No.8190 of 2021 (O&M)

Learned counsel appearing for the respondent has, however, opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner on the ground that a complaint has already been filed against the petitioner after recording the statement of one witness namely Chander Parkash and he has relied upon various documents to submit that on verification, all the documents submitted by chiming exemption from the received invoices without supply of goods from fake/bogus firms namely .Nelkanth International, Global Enterprises M/s. dev Trading Co stated that N micals is registered tment in the name of 8mt. vide Bathla, mothe bhit Bathla 06AHKPB7087N1ZZ, M/s cum Products is registered with the GST Department in Mohit Bathla vide GSTIN 06BMAPB7667P1ZM, tional is registered with the GST Department in the name of Shri Ashok Bathla, father of Shri Hc4Ha जयत Mohit Bathla vide GSTIN 06AGMPB7345M2Z2 and M/s. Jey Oil and Bitumen Limited is regioned with the GST Department vide GSTIN 06AAECJ7827R1ZT

Counsel for the respondent has further submitted that the petitioner has made a statement on 25.12.2020 acknowledging the aforesaid facts, however, it is specifically stated that in fact, the petitioner is operating the said firms. Similar was the statement made by Amit Kumar, Rajeev Kumar, Supervisor and upon investigation, it is found that the petitioner is having as many as 18 firms/companies which has filed fake ITC worth Rs.18,85,47,218/-. The father and

CRM-M No.8190 of 2021 (O&M)

mother of the petitioner have been granted the concession of anticipatory bail on the basis of the statement made by the petitioner that it is the petitioner, who is wholly responsible for the day-to-day business of all the firms.

5

Counsel for the respondent has relied upon an order dated 28.01.2021 passed in CRMM No.[15][1] of 2021 wherein the prayer for bail was declined after going through the wurtour judgments, relied upon by the petitioner.

A perusal of the said order show that while declining the bail application, the Court has recorded a finding that the accused (peritioner therein) was or the bail was to the same the bail was to the same the penal provisions and therefore, the bail was to the same the

Counsel for the respondent has also placed on record voluminous documents including score judgments to support his arguments, however, for the purpose of deciding the application for bail, the same are not referred to.

In reply, learned senior counsel for the petitioner has reiterated reguments that on an earlier occasion hen the record of the petitioner was verified on 14.10.2020, a sum was made by the Assistant Commissioner, CGST, that e-Way bill and transport documents were found to be genuine and therefore, it will be a matter of trial as to how the same documents are now termed as fake documents as it will require evidence to prove the same.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner has lastly argued that the petitioner is the first offender; he is not involved in any other case of such or similar nature and he is in custody since 26.12.2020 and

as on today, the petitioner has undergone about 03 months of judicial custody and as the complaint has been filed, it will take long time in conclusion of the trial.

After hearing the counsel for the parties and going through the voluminous documents and judgments relied upon by both the parties, it is found that ham allegations against the petitioner are regarding ayanment of fake Input Tax Credit (ITC) Limit of 04 firms and on Clabbing of the same, the amount as calculated by the respondent is approximately Rs.18 crores, however, considering the fact that the custody of the petitioners. Less than 93 months, I do not deem it appropriate to grant the concession of the petitioner, at this stage.

Accordingly, the petition is dismissed.



24.03.2021

yakub

hether speaking/reasoned

hether reportable: