WWW.LEGALERAONLINE.COM

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR AND FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY INSOLVENCY AND BANKRUPTCY BOARD OF INDIA

2nd Floor, Jeevan Vihar Building, Sansad Marg, New Delhi- 110 001

Dated: 12th October, 2020

RTI Appeal Registration No. ISBBI/A/E/20/00018

IN THE MATTER OF

Mr. Sivakumar V		Appellant
	Vs.	
Central Public Information Officer		
The Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India,		
2nd Floor, Jeevan Vihar Building, Sansad Marg,		
New Delhi- 110 001.		Respondent

ORDER

1. This appeal is borne out of the application under Right to Information (**RTI**) bearing Registration no. ISBBI/R/E/20/00118. The information sought by the appellant under section 6 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (**Act**) and the reply given by the respondent is as under:

Sl.	Information Sought	Reply by CPIO
No.		
1.	We,morethan3500	It is submitted that the Corporate Person specified in
	depositors invested money on	the RTI query, M/s Nathella Sampathu Chetty Pvt Ltd
	monthly basis in a gold	is not undergoing liquidation process under the
	savings scheme offered by	Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 (Code).
	Nathella Sampathu Chetty	However, the Corporate Debtor M/s Nathella Sampath
	Pvt Ltd (NSJPL) and the	Jewellery Private Limited (NSJPL) is undergoing
	entity filed a voluntary	liquidation process under the Code, which is being
	Insolvency. At present,	handled by Mr. Ram Ratan Kanoongo (Liquidator).
	Liquidation process in	The details of the said liquidator can be found at the
	progress and it seems that the	following link:
	chit fund depositors/creditors	
	are not considered for refund	https://www.ibbi.gov.in/ips-
	as we were classified as	register/viewip/1?name_ip=Ram+Ratan+Kanoongo
	Other creditors and the	
	preference is being given for	
	Operational creditors and	
	Financial creditors Hereby	
	request and seek information	
	on the assets that were	
	acquired by the Enforcement	

Directorate and the Resolution Professional for
liquidation with regards to
the Corporate debtor

- 2. In this appeal, the appellant has submitted that he agrees with the information provided by the respondent that the name of the corporate debtor is indeed M/s Nathella Sampath Jewellery Private Limited and the same is undergoing liquidation process. However, in the appeal, he has requested the FAA to provide the following information:
 - a. The participant(s) list who are in Committee of Creditors;
 - b. Resolution plan that was approved and the priority of settlement to the gold savings scheme chit fund creditors;
 - c. The Corporate debtor books (assets, liabilities, etc);
 - d. Money received/borrowed by the Corporate debtor and the subsequent investments/expenditure (buying movable/immovable assets in India/Overseas), building or investing money in schools/educational institutions) etc; and
 - e. List of assets that are under Liquidation by the Liquidator.
- 3. In this regard, comments of the respondent were called for. The respondent has submitted as follows:
 - a. Information sought by the appellant is not available.
 - b. The information required by appellant is beyond the purview of appeal as the same was not sought in RTI application.
 - c. In the RTI application, the appellant had asked the information relating to Nathella Sampathu Chetty Pvt. Ltd., on which the reply was given to the applicant. However, in the appeal he has sought information relating to the M/s Nathella Sampath Jewellery Private Limited (NSJPL).
- 4. It may be stated that the FAA has no power or authority under the Act to share any information with the appellant as requested for but can only deal with the appeal under the provisions of the Act. It may be seen that the respondent has informed the appellant that M/s Nathella Sampathu Chetty Pvt Ltd is not undergoing liquidation process under the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016; rather a corporate debtor with the name M/s Nathella Sampath Jewellery Private Limited (NSJPL) is undergoing liquidation process under the Code. This FAA, therefore, finds that the respondent provided whatever information available and that the appellant has agreed to the information provided by the respondent. Hence, the appellant has no grievance against the information provided by the respondent. Rather, the appellant is attempting to get fresh information in this appeal, which is evident from his request in the appeal to the FAA. Hence, it is concluded that such a request in appeal is not permissible under the Act, and will result in expansion of scope of the original RTI application. In this regard, the Hon'ble CIC in its order in the matter of *Shri Harish Prasad Divedi Vs. Bharat Petroleum Corporation Ltd. [Case No. CIC/LS/A/2013/001477SS]* observed the following:
 - "7. Having heard the submissions and perused the records, the Commission agrees with the Respondents that the information now sought by the Appellant in the present appeal did not form part of his original RTI application. Therefore, the Commission is not in a

position to allow the disclosure of the information which had not even been sought by the appellant in his RTI application. An information seeker cannot be allowed to expand the scope of his RTI enquiry at appeal stage. No disclosure can, therefore, be directed to be made in the present appeal of the Appellant."

5. With the above finding, the appeal is disposed of.

(Sd/-)

(K. R. Saji Kumar)

Executive Director and First Appellate Authority

Copy to:

- 1. Appellant, Mr. Sivakumar V.
- 2. CPIO, The Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India, 2nd Floor, Jeevan Vihar Building, Sansad Marg, New Delhi 110 001.

